

See attached for a system map with improvements and the 2025, 2030, 2035, and 2045 CIP list.

I would assume that we're getting two wells on the ECWAG (if the government ever reopens).

I would mix and match what the Board wants to do across the four analyzed intervals. Always add 15% for contingency and 15% for non-construction related costs (engineering, legal, survey, etc). We don't recommend anything less than a 4" for a system ya'lls size. Larger systems we have gotten to where the minimum size is a 6" or 8".

To help ya'll judge how much you can afford, assuming a 50% grant and the poverty rate of 3.125%, for every \$500,000 in project cost you can expect a monthly payment of \$913 (or \$2.50/mo/meter). You could be eligible for up to a 75% grant.

Let me know what ya'll are thinking on the project scope.

Kyle Stephens, P.E., MBA, CFM

**Stephens Engineering**

Ph: 903-215-8990

[kyle@stephenseng.net](mailto:kyle@stephenseng.net)

[www.stephenseng.net](http://www.stephenseng.net)

Texas Registered Engineering Firm #F-20395

On 10/3/2025 4:22 PM, Kyle Stephens wrote:

Looking at Diamond Maps, the problematic lines that have multiple leaks appear to be:

- 2" on CR 338 (339 to 338/3382)
- 2" on CR 3393
- 3" on CR 315C (Y intersection to SH 31)
- 2" on CR 327

You had some other breaks but they were isolated or fiber optic hits. If you see another line that needs to be replaced please add it to the list.

--

Kyle Stephens, P.E., MBA, CFM

**Stephens Engineering**

Ph: 903-215-8990

[kyle@stephenseng.net](mailto:kyle@stephenseng.net)

[www.stephenseng.net](http://www.stephenseng.net)

Texas Registered Engineering Firm #F-20395